Lewis Pitts, Esquire 1030 Carolina Avenue Durham, North Carolina 27705

March 22, 2004

ATTACHMENT THREE

To Plaintiffs' Brief in Opposition to the Corporate Defendants' Motion for Sanctions

Thomas Alan Linzey, Esq. 2859 Scotland Road Chambersburg, PA 17201

Re: FROST v. St. Thomas Development, Inc.

Dear Thomas,

This letter is to state my professional opinion that the above referenced complaint is not subject to any Rule 11 motion or sanctions.

I base this opinion on my practice of law since 1973, my several discussions with you regarding the facts, law and causes of action in this case, my reading of several drafts of the complaint and my research over several years regarding corporate personhood and democracy. While some of the issues may be of first impression for the court, all issues and claims raised are grounded in facts, supported by law or a good faith extension of existing law, and are not being submitted in bad faith. Further, the issues raised by this complaint go to the very core of our constitutional democracy and it is in the public interest that they be raised in such an appropriate legal format and forum.

Please let me know if I can assist you in any way in this matter.

Sincerely,

· CAMM